

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/893,559		<b>Applicant(s)</b> BAEK ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Alexander S. Beck		<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

**All Participants:**

(1) Alexander S. Beck.

(2) Eric J. Nuss.

**Date of Interview:** 28 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:30AM

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
13,18-35

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment as detailed in the attached Office action*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexander S. Beck/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)